



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/451,684	11/30/1999	SANJAY DABRAL	42390.P7112	9379

7590 01/02/2003

SETH Z KALSON  
BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR AND ZAFMAN LLP  
12400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD SEVENTH FLOOR  
LOS ANGELES, CA 900251026

[REDACTED] EXAMINER

CHANG, DANIEL D

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2819	

DATE MAILED: 01/02/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/451,684	DABRAL ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Daniel D. Chang	2819

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

#### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 November 2002.
- 2a) This action is FINAL.                  2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 22-34,38 and 39 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 22-34,38 and 39 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on 30 November 1999 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) All b) Some \* c) None of:
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
- a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

#### Attachment(s)

- |  |  |
|--|--|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)                                  | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____ . |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)                         | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>9</u> . | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ .                                   |

***Acknowledgement***

Receipt is acknowledged of the Amendment filed November 4, 2002.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 22-34 and 38-39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lvovsky et al. (US 4,620,310).

Lvovsky discloses, in figures 1-3, an electronic system comprising: a ground having a ground voltage (ground); a transmission line (2); a first termination device (RT); a second termination device (combination of R1-R4, CR1, and CR2); a first agent (10).

Lvovsky discloses that the transistor Q1 is a field effect transistor (FET) in col. 4, lines 64+ (see col. 7, lines 3-28 also), but does not specifically discloses that Q1 is a pMOSFET.

However, it is well known in the art that pMOSFET transistor can be used for the FET transistor (Q1) and they are interchangeably used with addition or subtraction of an inverter at the gate of the transistors. Therefore, it would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to have provided the circuit of Lvovsky with a pMOSFET because it is an obvious matter of design choice and substitution of equivalence.

Lvovsky further discloses, in figure 1, a second agent (see any of transceivers 3-7).

Lvovsky does not disclose that the first termination device is integrated on the die of the first agent.

However, it would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to integrate the termination device on the die of the circuit of Lvovsky with an nMOSFET because it is an obvious matter of design choice.

Regarding claims 32, 33, and 39, Lvovsky discloses, in fig. 3, a combinational logic circuit (21, 22, R5, R6) and that the transistor Q2 is a field effect transistor (FET) in col. 4, lines 64+ (see col. 7, lines 3-28 also), but does not specifically discloses that Q2 is an nMOSFET.

However, it is well known in the art that nMOSFET transistor can be used for the FET transistor (Q2) and they are interchangeably used with addition or subtraction of an inverter at the gate of the transistors. Therefore, it would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to have provided the circuit of Lvovsky with an nMOSFET because it is an obvious matter of design choice and substitution of equivalence.

#### *Response to Arguments*

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 22-34 and 38-39 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### *Conclusion*

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Osaka et al. (US 5,638,402) discloses a bus with termination resistors connected at both ends of the bus.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel D. Chang whose telephone number is (703) 306-4549. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael J. Tokar can be reached on (703) 305-3493. The fax phone numbers for the

Art Unit: 2819

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9318 for regular communications and (703) 872-9319 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.



Daniel D. Chang  
Primary Examiner  
Art Unit 2819

DC  
December 26, 2002

DANIEL CHANG  
PRIMARY EXAMINER